

Miss E: the demand for independent scrutiny

Selected email extracts

Transcribed from the correspondence of 14 and 18 September 2026.

The appeal continues

“I do not withdraw or abandon the appeal. Quite the opposite: I am insisting upon its proper and independent determination.”

Miss E, 18 September 2026. Subject: CA-2026-002125 / KA-2026-BRS-000028 - Formal objection to Bristol allocation and renewed request for independent London determination.

The missing costs statement

“Mr Mayo told Master Dagnall on 10 March 2026 that the N260 had been sent by his solicitors on 17 March 2025. When Master Dagnall suggested that it be sent or re-sent to me, Mr Mayo said that his solicitors could do so. It has still never been provided to me.”

Miss E, 14 September 2026, 09:05. Protective appeal and jurisdiction email; her written account of the hearing.

Changing procedural identities

“A litigant cannot fairly be expected continually to navigate proceedings whose procedural identity, court reference and judicial character are repeatedly altered after filing, and then be prejudiced by the confusion which results.”

Miss E, 14 September 2026, 09:05. Protective appeal and jurisdiction email.

The opportunity to present the evidence

“A court cannot fairly reject a litigant’s allegations as exaggerated or unfounded without first allowing that litigant a proper opportunity to give the evidence said to support them.”

Miss E, 14 September 2026, 15:04. Formal objection to further involvement of HHJ Blohm KC.

Mr J: statement for the record

10 September 2026, 16:28 - subject: L00BP152.

Sent to Barnstaple Hearings and Bristol Specialist, copying Miss E, in reply to Jo Copp's order email.

Selected extracts from Mr J's email.

His objection to the order

“The 8 September 2026 order is unacceptable. It further compounds compelling evidence that dishonesty continues unabated at the Bristol and Devon Courts.”

Mr J, statement for the record, 10 September 2026.

His objection to the disclosure refusal

“Denying a party the right to view underlying documentation regarding costs orders is a disgraceful and criminal decision.”

Mr J, statement for the record, 10 September 2026.

Read the order alongside the objection

Paragraph 3 of the September N24 refuses the application for disclosure of the N260 forms. The accompanying article puts questions to Leslie Adrian Blohm about the reasons, the costs record and the procedural handling.

Connected reporting

theunansweredrecord.org

Leslie Adrian Blohm - connected reporting and questions